CRITICAL AREAS INTERIM REVIEW PROCEDURE
Baltimore County

COASTAL ZONE
INFORMATION CENTER

HD 211 .M3 .C75 .1985 c.2

## Introduction

On June 1, 1984, one of Maryland's most controversial and far-reaching land use bills was signed into law by Governor Hughes. This law, entitled the Chesapeake Bay Critical Areas Commission Act, was the cornerstone of the State's legislative package aimed at finally cleaning up the Bay. Among other things, the law designated as critical a 1000-foot ribbon of land around the Bay and its tidal tributaries and wetlands. The law also established a special commission to administer the law and to develop criteria for the protection of the Bay's water quality and plant and wildlife habitats. Affected local jurisdictions are required to use these criteria to develop their own land use plans for the critical areas that fall within their borders.

However, these plans will not be in effect for at least three to four years and, until then, local jurisdictions must abide by the interim requirements set forth in Section 8-1813 of the law.

Section 8-1813, commonly referred to as the "findings" section, defines the approval conditions for development in the critical areas until local programs are implemented. The section requires that in order for any subdivision plat, variance, special exception, conditional use permit, or zoning amendment in the critical areas to be approved, it must be specifically

found that:

- (1) The proposed development will minimize adverse impacts on water quality that result from pollutants that are discharged from structures or conveyances or that have run off from surrounding lands; and
- (2) The applicant has identified fish, wildlife, and plant habitat which may be adversely affected by the proposed development and has designed the
  development so as to protect those identified habitats
  whose loss would substantially diminish the continued
  ability of populations of affected species to sustain
  themselves.

Local jurisdictions were required to begin implementing this section from the moment it was signed. At that time, no guidelines or interpretations of the language had been issued by the state agencies involved with the legislation. No grace period for organization was allowed and this placed a great burden on counties that had significant development projects which required a "finding" in order to be approved.

Such was the case for Baltimore County. We had four large subdivisions subject to the law and so, in this context of immediacy, we developed a procedure for their interim critical areas review as required by Section 8-1813.

# Development of the Interim Review Procedure

In Baltimore County, plans for subdivisions must be reviewed by the Office of Planning and Zoning, the Department of Public Works, and the Department of Health. Collectively, these reviewing agencies are called the County Review Group (CRG) and for Critical Areas reviews, the Office of Planning and Zoning is the lead agency.

On the day after the Critical Areas Commission law was signed, the CRG and other county agencies that would be affected by Section 8-1813 met to discuss reviewing responsibilities and the additional information that applicants would be required to submit. This initial information list, the agencies responsible for evaluating the information items, and indications of whether the requirements were new or already existing appear in Appendix A of the supplementary material section.

A far more detailed and comprehensive Critical Areas informational checklist has been developed and can be found in Appendix B. This is the core of our subdivision review and the information gathered forms the basis of our findings. Pages 1-3 of this checklist address the existing environmental conditions on the site and Pages 4-5 pertain to the development's design and the impacts it may have on the environment. The checklist also lists the specific information required, sources of that information, and the submittal form required.

# Interim Subdivision Review Procedure

When an applicant first files for subdivision approval, the site is located on the state Critical Areas map. If it is within the Critical Areas, he is informed of the law in general and the requirements of Section 8-1813.

If the subdivision is of five or more units, the applicant must provide the information outlined on the Critical Areas information checklist. The staff of the Office of Planning and Zoning gathers all the information for subdivisions of less than five units. This is done so that an undue burden is not placed on the very small developer whose development may not have a significant individual impact on the environment.

more units, the applicant must supply all the environmental information prior to any discussion of the plan. The Office of Planning and Zoning staff discusses these requirements with the applicant to give him a complete understanding of the scope of detail that is expected and to highlight areas of particular concern. The applicant is encouraged to contact the office throughout the process if any difficulties are encountered. The result of this initial work is an existing environmental conditions report with accompanying map(s). Copies of this are sent to the three agencies in the County Review Group for their evaluation. The review is coordinated by the Office of Planning and Zoning. The submitted report is reviewed for content and, if information is lacking or

if the level of detail is insufficient, the applicant is so informed. The review stops until the deficiencies are addressed by the applicant to the satisfaction of the CRG. When the report meets our standards, the information is critically evaluated. All sites are field-checked to verify the reports. The applicant then meets with the Office of Planning and Zoning staff to discuss the environmental constraints of the particular site. Development alternatives are discussed and guidance offered.

After this, the applicant may then proceed with the design of the development, hopefully bearing in mind the environmental points discussed. Throughout the design phase, the applicant is again encouraged to discuss particular points and alternatives with the staff. Once the design work is completed, a second Critical Areas report with map is required, this time discussing the impacts of the design on the environment and any mitigative measures used.

tent and level of detail. If all is satisfactory,
the entire plan is evaluated for its effects on water
quality and plant and wildlife habitats. Based on
this evaluation, a finding of whether the project
meets the requirements of Section 8-1813 is rendered
by the Director of the Office of Planning and Zoning.
Copies of the finding and support material are forwarded to the Department of State Planning for their

review.

# The Finding Rationale

The final review focuses on the impacts that the development will have on the receiving water's quality and the plant and wildlife habitats. The State has furnished almost no guidelines on criteria for making a finding and this has presented a variety of interpretation difficulties for the reviewing jurisdictions. Baltimore County has begun its own interpretations of the section and our perspective for making a finding is followingly discussed.

For water quality, it would be desirable to develop standards on the maximum percent increases in pollutant loadings that would be allowable. However, this is as of yet impossible because comprehensive data and target levels are lacking or are unavailable in a usable form. Until quantitative standards are developed, Baltimore County is taking a qualitative approach of protecting habitats in order to protect water quality.

As was alluded to previously, there is no authoritative interpretation of Subsectin 8-1813 (b) that deals with protecting plant and wildlife habitats. Until we receive guidance to the contrary, Baltimore County focuses on preserving habitats that are significant in the County's coastal zone. Significant implies:

(1) habitats that are uncommon to rare in

Cares

100 j 6 je

Baltimore County's coastal zone;

- (2) habitats that serve to support or that are capable of serving to support coastally-related wildlife and fish species. This includes habitats that such species may use for breeding, feeding, resting, migrational, cover, or resting purposes;
- (3) habitats that serve a water quality function, especially as they relate to aquatic species.

Based on these perspectives, the habitats that we seek to protect are wetlands; forests, especially those adjacent to water; submerged aquatic vegetation; old fields; and any unique habitats that may be found on a site.

We also focus on the cumulative impacts of development. We have tried to adopt an "ecosystem" approach, believing that individual projects cannot be combined separated from the Aeffects they may have on the Bay as a whole.

Baltimore County has not issued any absolute standards for making a finding. It is our contention that the environment is variable and that different projects will have different impacts on the Chesapeake Bay ecosystem. There are a variety of solutions that could minimize impacts and it is up to the applicant, not the County, to develop them. Some of the impact minimization methods that we have used include the cre-

ation of wetlands, stormwater management by infiltration, reduction of impervious surfaces, prohibition of development on wetlands, the use fertilizer and pesticide application agreements, clustering, and the use of setbacks. In the absence of any substantive mitigation methods proposed by an applicant, the County has found that setbacks from wetlands and the water's edge to be one of the most effective and easily administered methods for minimizing impacts to both water quality and plant and wildlife habitats. We have chosen a 300-foot setback as a guide. This distance is dependent upon a number of factors such as the environmental characteristics of the site, the intensity of development, and the mitigation methods proposed.

200 t

To determine the setback distance, we first researched the scientific literature, but found little that would apply to our County or our goals. We then investigated the setbacks used in other jurisdictions across the country and found numbers that ranged from zero to 300 feet. The 300-foot setback was adopted by the New Jersey Pinelands Commission in order to protect wetlands and preserve water quality. This number was the result of a consensus of opinion of a number of experts gathered by the Commission to determine an effective setback. A number of Maryland environmental experts were contacted for their opinion about this number and the majority felt it was reasonable.

As was previously stated, the 300-foot setback is a guide and the County will examine any of the minimization alternatives a developer proposes. Depending upon the characteristics of the site and development, a combination of alternatives may fulfill the law's requirements. For example, the use of retention ponds, wetlands creation or rehabilitation, and reforestation may result in varying buffer widths. As can be seen, the reviews are very site specific, which allows for flexibility, but which also greatly increases the review time. Until the State issues any new criteria or guidance, Baltimore County will continue to review critical area projects in this manner.

# APPENDIX A

	Information	Evaluating	Subdivisions	Zcning	Variances	Special
Issue	Requested	laingu	,	Amendments		Exceptions
Critical Area	Critical Areas boundary must be designated on map.	Planning & Zoning	New	New	New	New
Water Quality		Health Department	Existing (In part)	New	New	New
Submerged Aquatic Vegetation	SAVs must be designated on map and briefly described.	240	New	New	New	New
Water Depth	Average water depths within 50 ft. of the shoreline must be designated on map.	0PZ	New	New	New	New
Shoreline	Shoreline erosion control structures or projects must be designated on map. Rate of shoreline erosion must be supplied.	Zd0	New	New	New	New
Wetlands/Hydric Soils	State wetlands and County hydric soils must be designated on map.	Health Dept.	Existing	New	New	New
Topography	Existing topography based on updated aerials of a scale of 1" = 100' with 2' intervals or field run data must be designated on map.	Public Works	Existing (in part)	Existing	New	New
Impervious Surfaces	Percent impervious surface of development must be calculated.	Public Works	Existing	New	New	New

	Special Exceptions	New	New	New		New	New	New
	Variances	New	New	New		New	New	New
	Zoning Amendments	Existing	New	New		N	Existing	Existing
	Subdivisions	Existing	Existing (in part)	New		New	Existing	Existing
-	Evaluating Agency	Planning and Zoning	Public Works	OPZ and Health Dept.		0PZ	Health Dept.	Public Works
	Information Requested	Slopes greater than 25% must be designated on map.	Points of discharge from structure or conveyances must be located on map.	Plant habitats must be designated on map and described. Description shall include habitat type, species associations, characteristics, vegetation strata and value to fish and wildlife.	The amount of habitat to be altered by development must be calculated.	Fish & wildlife habitats (in- cluding important breeding and nesting areas) must be shown on map and described in terms of species composition, diversity, regional & local importance, etc.	Streams (intermittent & perennial) spring heads, seeps, and all water bodies must be designated on map.	Limits of 100 yr. riverine & tidal floodplains must be designated on map.
	Issue	Steep	Points of Discharge	Plant Habitats		Fish & Wildlife Habitat	Streams/Water	Floodplains



NORMAN E. GERBER DIRECTOR

October 29, 1984

TO: ALL INTERESTED PARTIES

FROM: EUGENE A. BOBER, Chief

Current Planning & Development Division, OPZ

RE: Interim Critical Areas Requirements for Baltimore County

On June 1, 1984, Governor Hughes signed into law the Chesapeake Bay Critical Areas Commission Bill. Among other things, this law designates a "critical area" of concern around the Bay which essentially is 1,000 feet from the landward edge of State wetlands or the shoreline of the Chesapeake Bay and its tidal tributaries. A special administrative commission was established with one of its principal purposes being the development of criteria for the protection of the water quality and plant and wildlife habitats of the Chesapeake Bay. Counties are to use these criteria to develop and implement programs to guide coastal land use in an environmentally sensitive manner. These programs will not be in effect for at least three to four years and, until then, the County must abide by the interim regulations set forth in Section 1813 of the law. The relevant portions of this section are quoted below.

From June 1, 1984 with regard to any subdivision plat approval or approval of a zoning amendment, variance, special exception, conditional use permit or use of a floating zone, affecting any land or water area located within the initial planning area identified in Section 8-1807(A) of this subtitle, for which application is completed after that date, the approving authority of the local jurisdiction in rendering its decision to approve an application shall make specific findings that:

- (1) The proposed development will minimize adverse impacts on water quality that result from pollutants that are discharged from structures or conveyances or that have run off from surrounding lands; and
- (2) The applicant has identified fish, wildlife, and plant habitat which may be adversely affected by the proposed development and has designed the development so as to protect those identified habitats whose loss would substantially diminish the continued ability of populations of affected species to sustain themselves.

### TO: ALL INTERESTED PARTIES

In order for the County to comply with this section, it will be necessary for developers to provide additional environmental information. These informational requirements are outlined in checklist form on the attached table entitled 'Critical Areas Information Requirements for Baltimore County.' Please note that the items on pages 1 to 3 pertain to baseline environmental data. The items on pages 4 and 5 pertain to the development's design and the impacts it may have on the environment.

During the initial project planning phase, developers are invited to contact Eugene Bober at 494-3335 to begin informal discussions about the site. Prior to any formal review, the baseline environmental information must be submitted. With this information, the Planning staff can then more fully discuss the environmental characteristics of the site and offer guidance about the development plan. During the design phase, the developer is encouraged to discuss major design points as they relate to the environment. A final review of the project will be made following the submission of the remaining information requirements that refer to the development itself.

Should you have any questions or comments about this process, please contact Eugene Bober at 494-3335.

EUGENE A. BOBER, Chief

Current Planning & Development Div.

# CRITICAL ARE TO INFORMATION REQUIRE BALTIMORE COUNTY

SUBMITTAL FORM	Critical Areas Information Map*	Critical Areas Information Map. If data are unavail- able, field estimates and descriptions may be sub- stituted.	Critical Areas Information Text**	Critical Areas Information Map
SOURCE	Map in Office of Planning and Zoning, Current Planning & Development Div.	Nautical Maps	Historical Shorelines and Erosion Rates, Maryland Geological Survey, 1975	Shoreline Structures in Maryland: Their Location and Extent, Maryland Geological Survey, 1976 or latest available information
SPECIFIC INFORMATION	A Critical Areas Boundary	Average water depth within 50° of shoreline at mean high tide to be shown at lintervals. This is to be done for water bodies on and adjacent to the site as well as for the major tributaries into which the site drains.	<pre>l. Shoreline rates of ero- sion or accretion</pre>	2. Shoreline erosion control structures or projects
SUBJECT	CRITICAL AREA	WATER DEPTH a a i	SHORELINE	

\*Critical Areas Information Map is a map of the site detailing the requested environmental information. Lot lines, roads, utility lines, etc. should not be included and the scale and size must be the same as that used for the site development plan.

\*\*Critical Areas Information Text is a written report, describing the requested environmental information.

SUBJECT	SPECIFIC INFORMATION	SOURCE	SUBMITTAL FORM
SOILS	1. Wetland Soils	Baltimore Co. Wetland Guidelines, Baltímore Co. Soil Survey	Site Plan
	<ol> <li>Description of site's soil erodibility and permeability character- istics</li> </ol>	Baltimore Co. Soil Survey or best available infor- mation	Site Plan or Critical Areas Information Map or Text
	3. Drainage patterns		
TOPOGRAPHY	1. Existing topography	Updated aerials of a scale of 1"=100' with 2' intervals or field run data	Site Plan or Critical Areas Information Map
	2. Slopes greater than 15% and 25%	=	Crosshatched on Site Plan or Critical Areas Information Map
STREAMS/WATER	Streams (intermittent and perennial), springheads, seeps, and all water bodies	Baltimore Co. Soil Survey, field inspections, or best available data	Site Plan or Critical Areas Information Map
FLOODPLAINS	Limits of 100-year riverine and tidal floodplains	Inquiries may be made at Dept. of Public Works.	Site Plan or Critical Areas Information Map
UNIQUE FEATURES	Location and description of unique characteristics or features	Field inspection	Critical Areas Information Map or Text

SPECIFIC INFORMATION

SOURCE

SUBJECT

species composition and extent. This 1. Mapping of submerged is to be done for SAV beds on and/or adjacent to the site as well as for beds in the vicinity into which the aquatic vegetation (SAV) and description in terms of PLANT HABITATS site drains.

presence of significant coastal species; vegetation layers (overstory, mid-story, regionally rare or endangered species; understory, herbaceous layer); successional stage, unique vegetation; etc. 2. Mapping of different fields, pastures, cultivated fields, vegetative habitats (ex., tidal and plant communities and associations; nontidal wetlands, woodlands, old etc.) and description in terms of diversity; presence of locally or

3 Approximate acreage of each habitat type

Field inspection and

Field inspection

Critical Areas Information

Map or map of smaller scale

only for SAVs in vicinity

of site.

Administration). Updated

maps will be available

USGs Quads 7-1/2 (avail able at Water Resources

State Wetlands Maps and SAV Distribution 1978

this fall. Site investi-

gations should be to a

water depth of 15'.

Field inspection and attached references

Critical Areas Information

SUBMITTAL FORM

Map and Text

and fish habitats in relaquality of nesting, breeding, or nursery sites; migrational stopovers, etc. habitats and in terms of the availability and suitability of food and cover; presence of locally or regionally rare Description of wildlife corridor effects; presence (actual or continuity of habitats to determine tionship to the plant of coastal/Bay species; endangered, or threatened species; potential) WILDLIFE AND FISH HABITAT

NOTE: "Fish and wildlife" is defined to include finfish, crustaceans, mollusks, mammals, birds, amphibians, and rentiles

attached references

Critical Areas Text and Map

Critical Areas Text

SUBMITTAL FORM	Critical Areas Information Text	Field inspections, Critical Areas Information Permits	Guidebook for Screening Critical Areas Information Urban Nonpoint Pollution Text Management Strategies
SOURCE		Field in permits	Guidebo Urban N Managem
SPECIFIC INFORMATION	Calculations of percent impervious surface before and after development. Calculations should be based only on developable land.	Points of discharge from structures or conveyances which include, but are not limited to, swales, storm drains, pipes, etc.	WATER QUALITY Description of the extent and types of pollutants likely to occur due to the proposed development. A detailed analysis
SUBJECT	IMPERVIOUS SURFACES	POINTS OF DISCHARGE for s stormwater man- w agement, sew- l age, agricul- d tural practices, sediment control, industry, etc.	WATER QUALITY Proposed develor

Description of the effects that the velocity and volume of stormwater will have on water quality and habitats.

This information is to be provided for the

will have on water quality and habitats.

construction phase and after development

is completed.

STORMWATER Calculations of stormwater volumes and rates before and after development.

Detailed discussion of stormwater management alternatives, including infiltration, retention, and extended detention. Detailed justification for the rejection of infiltration or retention alternatives, if applicable.

Critical Areas Information Text

Dept. of Public Works

Guidelines

MITIGATIVE MEASURES

A detailed comprehensive summary of the measures the applicant will take

cussion of environmental protection design to minimize runoff, soil erosion, sedimentation, people pressure, etc. This is to applicant will be taking to protect water quality and critical habitats; (3) a disquality and/or plant habitats, especially ment control practices to be implemented alternative's potential impacts on water wetlands; (2) a discussion of the sedivegetation removal, covenants regarding measures such as buffers, reduction of include (1) a discussion of stormwater management alternatives including each pesticide and fertilizer applications, including the additional measures the reduction of impervious surfaces, etc.

PLANT HABITATS Approximate percentage of each habitat type that will be lost due to development.

JRCE

SUBMITTAL FURM

Critical Areas Information Text

Additional information may be required depending upon the site and/or project characteristics.

# References for Plant, Wildlife, and Fish Habitat

- \* BUCK, LEWIS. 1974. Wetlands, bogs, marshes, and swamps.
- \* COLE, GERALD A. 1983. Textbook of limnology. Toronto: C.V. Mosby Company.
  - CONQUIST, ARTHUR and H. A. GLEASON. 1963. Manual of vascular plants of northeastern United States and adjacent Canada. New York:

    D. Van Nostrand.
- \* DAUBENMIRE, REXFORD F. 1968. Plant Communities. New York: Harpers.
  - FASSETT, NORMAN C. 1975. A manual of aquatic plants. Madison, Wisc: University of Wisconsin Press.
  - FERNALD, M. L. 1950. Gray's manual of botany 8th ed. New York: American Book Co.
- \* GLEASON, HENRY A, 1952. Illustrated flora of northeastern United States and adjacent Canada. New York: Dover Publications, Inc.
- \* HARLOW, WILLIAM. 1964. Fruit key and twig key to trees and shrubs.

  New York: Dover Publications, Inc.
  - HOTCHKISS, N. 1972. Common marsh, underwater, and floating-leaved plants of the United States and Canada. New York: Dover Publications.
- \* KLOTS, ELSIE B. 1966. Freshwater life. New York: G. P. Putnam's Sons.
- \* MARTIN, A.C., H.S. ZIM and A.L. NELSON. 1955. American wildlife and plants. A guide to wildlife food habits. New York: Dover Publications, Inc.
- \* MC CORMICK, J.A. and H. SOMES, JR. 1982. The coastal wetlands of Maryland. Annapolis, MD: Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Coastal Zone Management Program.
- \* NEWCOMB, L. 1977. Newcomb's wildflower guide. Boston: Little, Brown and Co.
- \* ODUM, EUGENE. 1963. Ecology.
- \* \_\_\_\_. 1971. Fundamentals of ecology.
- \* PETERSON, R.T. and M. McKENNY. 1968. A field guide to wildflowers of northeastern and north-central North America. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Co.
- \* PETRIDES, G.A. 1972. A field guide to trees and shrubs. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Co.
  - PIERCE, ROBERT J. 1977. Wetland plants of the eastern United States. New York: Army Corps of Engineers.

- \* PRESTON, R.J., Jr. 1961. North American trees. Cambridge Press: The M.I.T. Press.
- \* RAMWELL, D.S. 1972. Ecology of salt marshes and sand dunes.
- \* REID, GEORGE K. 1976. Ecology of inland waters and estuaries, 2nd Edition. London: Chapman and Hall.
  - SILBERHORN, G.M. 1976. Tidal wetland plants of Virginia. Virginia Inst. of Mar. Sci. Ed. Series No. 19.
- \* . 1982. Common plants of the mid-Atlantic Coast.
- \* SMITH, ROBERT LEO. 1980. Ecology and field biology.
- \* WATTS, MARY T. 1975. Reading the landscape of America. New York: MacMilliam Publishing Co.

\*Available in Baltimore County Library, Towson.

